

## **I. Written Statement of Substance of Applicant-Initiated Telephone Interview**

Applicant and his attorney thank Examiner Rowan for granting the telephone interview which was conducted during regular business hours on October 24, 2006.

Applicant presents the following written statement of the substance of the interview pursuant to MPEP § 713.04:

Persons participating in the interview: Examiner Kurt Rowan; and Robert W. Dickerson, attorney for applicant.

Type of interview: telephone.

Exhibits shown and demonstration presented: none.

Claim(s) discussed: pending claims 1 and 4.

Specific prior art discussed: None discussed specifically, although the Spooner prior art of record was referenced generally.

No agreement was reached.

## **II. Amendments to the Specification**

Please replace paragraph [026] as set forth in the first proposed Amendment After Final filed on September 18, 2006 with the following now twice-amended paragraph:

[026] As best seen in Figs. 1 and 4, holes **30** are placed through the base **10** in the gap area **28** at regular intervals along the entire length of the base to facilitate attachment of the device to the perch location (not shown), for example. Plainly, the holes **30** are only one of innumerable ways in which the attachment can be facilitated. Attachment can be by any mechanical means such as screw, bolts, staples or nails, or any other attachment means such as adhesives, or a combination of them. In Figure 6, spaced apart attachment means **38** (which could be screws, bolts, or nails, ~~rivets or the like~~) are shown as the attachment means.